

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-27
Date of Last Revision: 2012-02-27

Agency: 010 - Department of the Interior **Bureau:** 90 - Office of the Special Trustee for American Indians

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: OST - Trust Funds Accounting System (TFAS)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 010-000000934

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Trust Funds Accounting System (TFAS) is SEI Investments Inc.'s Trust 3000 commercial-off-the shelf (COTS) trust accounting system. TFAS is a fiduciary trust accounting system that can not and does not have any functional interactions with any other government appropriated financial system. The principle objective of this investment is to track daily deposit, investment, and disbursement activity of over 3 billion dollars held in trust by the government on behalf of over 370,000 individual Indians and over 300 Indian Tribes. TFAS is a COTS, private sector used and approved, trust funds accounting system used to meet the Fiduciary Responsibilities set forth in the American Indian Trust Fund Management Reform Act of 1994 and to assist the Secretary of the Interior in meeting his fiduciary trust responsibilities as part of the DOI Strategic Goal of Serving Communities. Expected accomplishment will be processing 99% of financial information accurately in the Trust beneficiaries accounts and the production of a statement of performance for 100% of the accounts on a quarterly basis. A traditional general ledger accounting system is inadequate to account for the daily investment activity and valuation of investment instruments held in trust by the government for individual Indians and Indian Tribes. There is no Government owned or operated system that performs the functions required to fulfill the trust fiduciary responsibilities. Furthermore, the system, as contracted, is operated in what is known as a service bureau environment. This means that the vendor is responsible for operating and

maintaining the equipment and software. Due to the fact that the majority of the vendor s users are non-governmental banking entities who are continually searching for the most effective and efficient service provider, it is in the best interest of the vendor that the system run efficiently and effectively. The Government is able to take advantage of the private sector market pressures that ensure services are provided at an acceptable price and compliant with industry regulations. TFAS is an O&M investment. The contract was last competed in FY08 in a full and open competition. TFAS is effective, efficient and economical for the Government.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

Expected accomplishment will be processing 99% of financial information accurately in the Trust beneficiaries accounts and the production of a statement of performance for 100% of the accounts on a quarterly basis. A traditional general ledger accounting system is inadequate to account for the daily investment activity and valuation of investment instruments held in trust by the government for individual Indians and Indian Tribes. There is no Government owned or operated system that performs the functions required to fulfill the trust fiduciary responsibilities. Furthermore, the system, as contracted, is operated in what is known as a service bureau environment. This means that the vendor is responsible for operating and maintaining the equipment and software. Due to the fact that the majority of the vendor s users are non-governmental banking entities who are continually searching for the most effective and efficient service provider, it is in the best interest of the vendor that the system run efficiently and effectively. The Government is able to take advantage of the private sector market pressures that ensure services are provided at an acceptable price and compliant with industry regulations. TFAS is an O&M investment. The contract was last competed in FY08 in a full and open competition. TFAS is effective, efficient and economical for the Government.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

1. 99% of statements stored electronically for all accountholders 2. 99% of accounts with statements produced 3. 99% of statements mailed to accountholders with a valid address.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

1. 100% of statements stored electronically for all accountholders 2. 100% of accounts with statements produced 3. 100% of statements mailed to accountholders with a valid address.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2011-07-01

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$159.9	\$16.1	\$16.6	\$17.2
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$1.4	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$0.2
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$161.3	\$16.4	\$16.8	\$17.4
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$161.3	\$16.4	\$16.8	\$17.4
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$1.4	\$0.3	\$0.2	\$0.2
# of FTE rep by costs:	24	4	4	4
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$0.0	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		0.00%	0.00%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

No Change.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	1406	INDNBCC0808									

8

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

TFAS is in Steady State, O&M Investment with a fixed priced contract. Thus, there is no requirement to perform EVMS calculations per A-11 guidance.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
100% of statements stored electronically for all other accountholders	Number of Statements	Customer Results - Service Quality	Over target	99.990000	99.990000	0.000000	99.990000	Semi-Annual
100% to ensure effective operational capability	Hours System Monitored	Mission and Business Results - Support Delivery of Services	Over target	100.000000	0.000000	0.000000	100.000000	Semi-Annual
100% of accounts with statements produced	Number of Accounts	Process and Activities - Quality	Over target	99.990000	99.990000	99.900000	99.990000	Semi-Annual
100% of statements mailed to accountholders with a valid address	Number of Statements	Process and Activities - Quality	Over target	99.990000	99.900000	99.900000	99.990000	Monthly
100% of checks mailed to account holders with a valid address.	Number of Checks	Technology - Effectiveness	Over target	99.990000	99.900000	99.900000	99.900000	Semi-Annual